**Consensus Report of scientific panel**

**of the international assessment committee**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Acronym of LRI** |  |
| **Full name of LRI** |  |
| **Scientific domain** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ROLE AND MISSION** | |
| 1. **Scientific and technological scope and services provided to the user community**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Compliance with emerging scientific and R&D trends in Europe and in the world**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Progress in implementation and operation in the past period and current status**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE** | |
| 1. **Governance mechanisms, organisation chart and management structure**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Human resources policy and internal quality assurance instruments**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Gender balance and diversity and gender equality measures**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **RELEVANCE, IMPORTANCE AND SIGNIFICANCE** | |
| 1. **Uniqueness of knowledge and technology expertise**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Position in the Czech, European and worldwide landscape**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Addressing of scientific and industrial community needs**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **COOPERATION, NETWORKING AND CLUSTERING** | |
| 1. **Cooperation, networking and clustering in the Czech Republic**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Cooperation, networking and clustering in Europe and worldwide**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Synergies and complementarities with other initiatives and programmes**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS AND IMPACT** | |
| 1. **Development of new technologies and innovative products**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Local, regional and macro-regional socio-economic development**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Grand societal challenges and United Nations Sustainable Development Goals**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **USER STRATEGY AND OPEN ACCESS POLICY** | |
| 1. **User strategy**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Open access policy**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Cooperation with businesses**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **E-INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS** | |
| 1. **Data management policy**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Internal e-infrastructure resources**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **External e-infrastructure cooperation**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **FEASIBILITY STUDY AND SWOT ANALYSIS** | |
| 1. **Risk, threats and mitigation measures**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Strategy for addressing main challenges**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **BENCHMARKING** | |
| (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **PUBLIC RELATIONS AND OUTREACH** | |
| (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **CAPACITY USE AND R&D AND INNOVATION RESULTS** | |
| 1. **R&D and innovation results reached by the operator**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **R&D and innovation results reached by the users**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Top-class and most valuable R&D and innovation results research by the operator**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Top-class and most valuable R&D and innovation results research by the users**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **COSTS AND BUDGET** | |
| 1. **Operation costs**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Investment costs**   (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS** | |
| *Make an assessment of the KPIs target values proposed by the management of LRI in the filled in assessment questionnaire. In the case that a KPI target value is viewed not sufficient enough, suggest an adjustment, including relevant justification.*  (min. 1 000 characters with spacing)  (min. 500 characters with spacing for explanation of a KPI target value modification suggestion) | |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **OVERALL OUTCOMES AND RECOMMENDATIONS** | |
| 1. **Following the assessment of individual features of the LRI, please sum up your evaluation and justify your overall grade by highlighting the major strengths and/or weaknesses of the LRI.**   (min. 3 000 characters with spacing)   1. **Please specify what recommendations for the future (up to 5 top priority) do you give to the LRI as regards addressing the weaknesses that you identified during the evaluation process.**   (no min. limit) | |
| **Overall grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low**  **0 – Does not comply with the basic criteria of a large research infrastructure** |